

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,038 10/15/2001	Timothy W. Dygert	1617.1001CIP	2537
20306 7590 01/04/2007 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606		EXAMINER	
		ALI, MOHAMMAD	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2166	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVED	V MODE
3 MONTHS	01/04/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/976,038	DYGERT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mohammad Ali	2166			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availabed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the means of the period for reply specified about 15 NO period for reply is specified as Failure to reply within the set or expenses.	THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ie under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ailing date of this communication.   Ive is less than thirty (30) days, a reply shove, the maximum statutory period watended period for reply will, by statute, ter than three months after the mailing.	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(3) 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED date of this communication, even if timely filed,	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
<u> </u>	nunication(s) filed on <u>06 Se</u>	eptember 2006.				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b>		action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are p	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/a	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
		on is required if the drawing(s) is obj				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is m since a specific refere 37 CFR 1.78.	nade of a claim for domestion nce was included in the firs	of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(extremely sentence of the specification or visional application has been received.	) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.			
14) Acknowledgment is m	ade of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 e specification or in an Application	and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Stateme	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/976,038

Art Unit: 2166

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/6/06 has been entered.

The application has been examined. Claims 1-5 are pending in this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,038

Art Unit: 2166

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fenner et al. ('Fenner' hereinafter), USP, 6,243,328.

With respectfully claim 1,

Fenner discloses an apparatus for playback of recordings and communication with a remote database to obtain information about the recordings and provide at least textual data for a display unit (see col. 8, lines 30-35, Fig. 8), comprising:

Fenner teaches a recorded signal output device to reproduce a recorded signal from a recording (see col. 1, lines 6-15);

Fenner teaches a video output to provide the textual data to the display unit (see col. 2, lines 58-60 et seq);

Fenner teaches a memory to store a textual recording name of the recording and indicating data, obtained from said recorded signal output device, that can be used for identification of the recording (see col. 8, lines 1-5);

Fenner teaches a communication device, occasionally in communication with the remote database, to obtain the textual recording name by sending the indicating data to the remote database (see col. 8, lines 40-50 et seq); and

Fenner teaches a controller, coupled to said recorded signal output device, said video output, said memory and said communication device, to control said apparatus to

Application/Control Number: 09/976,038

Art Unit: 2166

play back the recording regardless of whether said communication device is in communication with the remote database, to repeatedly try to establish communication with the remote database unit communication is established (see col. 8, lines 40-45 et seq), to query the remote database using the indicating data when communication is established and to supply the textual recording name of the recording from the remote database to said memory (see col. 1, lines 47-49).

As to claim 2,

Fenner teaches wherein the communication device is a cellular two-way network interface (see col. 7, lines 60-65, Fig. 8 et seq).

As to claim 3,

Fenner teaches wherein the communication device is an 802.11a network interface and said apparatus is mounted in an automobile (see col. 8, lines 20-31 et seq).

As to claim 4,

Fenner teaches wherein the communication device is an 802.11b network interface and said apparatus is mounted in an automobile (see col. 8, lines 40-42).

As to claim 5,

Fenner teaches wherein the communication device is a Bluetooth network interface and said apparatus is portable (see col. 8, lines 40-42 also see the claims)

## **Contact Information**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-4105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:30 am-6:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mohammad Ali Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2166

MA

December 26, 2006